| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | NGUYEN DAOTIEN, |
| 11 | Petitioner, No. CIV S-03-1252 DFL CMK P |
| 12 | VS. |
| 13 | BILL LOCKYER, |
| 14 | Respondent. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | On September 7, 2006, this court filed findings and recommendations |
| 18 | recommending that petitioner's habeas application be denied. The findings and |
| 19 | recommendations advised both parties that they had ten days in which to file objections. On |
| 20 | October 12, 2006, petitioner filed a request for an extension of time to file objections to the |
| 21 | findings and recommendations. Petitioner lists no reason for his delay in filing a request for an |
| 22 | extension of time to file objections; the court also notes that petitioner is not incarcerated, so |
| 23 | delay cannot be attributed to delays in the prison mail system. |
| 24 | /// |
| 25 | /// |
| 26 | /// |

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED THAT petitioner's request for an extension of time is denied. DATED: October 18, 2006. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE